



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,009	11/24/2003	Maresh Rajagopalan	03-1014	5652
25537	7590	01/15/2010	EXAMINER	
VERIZON			GAY, SONIA L	
PATENT MANAGEMENT GROUP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1320 North Court House Road				2614
9th Floor				
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-2909				
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		01/15/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

[patents@verizon.com](mailto:patents@verizon.com)

<b>Advisory Action</b> <b>Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/721,009 <b>Examiner</b> SONIA GAY	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RAJAGOPALAN ET AL. <b>Art Unit</b> 2614
---	--	--

**—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —**

THE REPLY FILED 06 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a)  The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
 b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

2.  The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

#### AMENDMENTS

3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  
 (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  
 (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  
 (c)  They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  
 (d)  They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: 30, 60, 63, 66 and 69.

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-29, 31-59, 61-62, 67.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

#### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

#### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  
See Continuation Sheet.

12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

13.  Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

/Ahmad F Matar/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Sonia Gay/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant added the limitations "determining a most recently used device by a first conference user to share first data interactively during a previous computer-enhanced conference call" and "establishing a collaboration to share second data interactively among the initiating user and a subset of the conference users that are authorized to participate in the collaboration, the second data being shared interactively during the conference call" to claims 1, 31, and 61. The addition of this new limitation, specifically "determining a most recently used device by a first conference user to share first data interactively during a previous computer-enhanced conference call" requires further consideration and a new search. Applicant added the limitations "storing data identifying a first device and a second device associated with a first conference user, the data reflecting that the first device is preferred by the first conference user for sharing data interactively in computer-enhanced conference calls during a first time period and the second device is preferred for sharing data interactively in computer-enhanced conference calls and bridging calls to devices of the initiating user and the conference users based on the at least one received response to establish a conference call between the initiating user and the conference users, wherein at least one of the calls is forwarded to the first user at the first device based on the determining that the computer-enhanced conference call falls within the first time period" to claims 17, 47, 62, and 67. The addition of the new limitation, specifically "the data reflecting that the first device is preferred by the first conference user for sharing data interactively in computer-enhanced conference calls during a first time period and the second device is preferred for sharing data interactively in computer-enhanced conference calls" requires further consideration and a new search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are based on the proposed amendments for claims 1,17,31,47, 61-62, and 67 discussed above in part 3. Since the amendments raise new issues and have not been entered, applicant's arguments have been considered and are moot.